

**ORDINANCE 21-K**

**AN ORDINANCE BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ALVIN, TEXAS, CANVASSING THE RETURNS AND DECLARING THE RESULTS OF THE SPECIAL ELECTION HELD ON MAY 1, 2021, REGARDING THIRTY-NINE (39) PROPOSITIONS RELATING TO THE AMENDMENTS OF THE HOME RULE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF ALVIN, TEXAS.**

**WHEREAS**, on May 1, 2021, a special election was held in the City of Alvin, Texas, at which thirty-nine (39) propositions were submitted to the duly qualified, resident electors of the City for their action thereon, relating to proposed amendments to the City’s home rule Charter; and

**WHEREAS**, the said election was duly and legally held in conformity with the election laws of the State of Texas, and the results of said election have been verified and returned by the proper judges and clerks; and

**WHEREAS**, the City Council of the City of Alvin, Texas, canvassed the election returns on May 11, 2021, and hereby declare the result of such special election; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ALVIN, TEXAS:**

**Section 1.** The facts set forth in the preamble of this Ordinance are hereby found to be true and correct and are incorporated herein and made a part hereof for all purposes.

**Section 2.** The official canvass of the returns of the special election held on May 1, 2021, reflects the following:

| <b>PROPOSITION A</b>  |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment throughout the entire Charter, that all instances of “Chief Financial Officer” be changed to “Director of Administrative Services” to reflect current practice.     | 806 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment throughout the entire Charter, that all instances of “Chief Financial Officer” be changed to “Director of Administrative Services” to reflect current practice. | 169 |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION A   |     |
| 83%   |     |

| <b>PROPOSITION B</b>   |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment throughout the Charter, that all proper nouns, including Mayor, City Manager, City Secretary, City Attorney, Commission, Board, or any other titles or words be capitalized to reflect proper grammar??    | 888 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment throughout the Charter, that all proper nouns, including Mayor, City Manager, City Secretary, City Attorney, Commission, Board, or any other titles or words be capitalized to reflect proper grammar. | 88  |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION B  |     |
| 91%  |     |

| <b>PROPOSITION C</b>  |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment of Article V, Section 8 of the City Charter changing the reference of “health officer” to “Health Authority” to reflect current practice. | 761 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment of Article V, Section 8 of the Charter changing the reference of “health officer” to “Health Authority” to reflect current practice.  | 213 |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION C   |     |
|   | 78% |

| <b>PROPOSITION D</b>  |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment of Article VII, Section 18, of the City Charter, changing the reference of “depository(ies)” to “depository or depositories” to reflect proper grammar.     | 847 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment of Article VII, Section 18, of the City Charter, changing the reference of “depository(ies)” to “depository or depositories” to reflect proper grammar. | 98  |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION D   |     |
|   | 90% |

| <b>PROPOSITION E</b>   |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment of Article XI, Section 15, of the City Charter, removing the incorrect use of the word “separability”, and replacing with the correct word “severability”.     | 823 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment of Article XI, Section 15, of the City Charter, removing the incorrect use of the word “separability”, and replacing with the correct word “severability”. | 113 |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION E  |     |
|  | 88% |

| <b>PROPOSITION F</b>   |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment of Article I, Section 5, of the City Charter, changing the reference of “and limitations” to “or limitations” to reflect proper grammar.     | 842 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment of Article I, Section 5, of the City Charter, changing the reference of “and limitations” to “or limitations” to reflect proper grammar. | 104 |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION F  |     |
|  | 89% |

| <b>PROPOSITION G</b>   |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article II, Section 2, of the City Charter, requiring candidates for the office of Mayor or Council member be residents of the City and of the District for which they represent for at least twelve (12) months instead of six (6) months.     | 876 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article II, Section 2, of the City Charter, requiring candidates for the office of Mayor or Council member be residents of the City and of the District for which they represent for at least twelve (12) months instead of six (6) months. | 85  |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION G  |     |
|  | 91% |

| <b>PROPOSITION H</b>  |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article II, Section 2, of the City Charter, by adding section headings of “For Candidacy and For Office Holders” providing for better clarity and readability.     | 858 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article II, Section 2, of the City Charter, by adding section headings of “For Candidacy and For Office Holders” providing for better clarity and readability. | 74  |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION H   |     |
|   | 92% |

| <b>PROPOSITION I</b>   |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article III, Section 2, of the City Charter, updating the language to provide a well-defined timeline for the application process when filing for a place on the ballot as required by state law.     | 884 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article III, Section 2, of the City Charter, updating the language to provide a well-defined timeline for the application process when filing for a place on the ballot as required by state law. | 55  |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION I  |     |
|  | 94% |

| <b>PROPOSITION J</b>   |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 6, of the City Charter, updating the language to ensure that all signatures on a petition are obtained in the manner as required by state law, and authorizing the correction of grammatical errors within the section without changing its intended meaning.     | 855 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 6, of the City Charter, updating the language to ensure that all signatures on a petition are obtained in the manner as required by state law, and authorizing the correction of grammatical errors within the section without changing its intended meaning. | 69  |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION J  |     |
|  | 93% |

| <b>PROPOSITION K</b>  |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 7, of the City Charter, updating the language clarifying that one (1) supplementary petition may be timely filed with the City Secretary as allowed by state law, and authorizing the correction of grammatical errors within the section without changing its intended meaning.     | 822 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 7, of the City Charter, updating the language clarifying that one (1) supplementary petition may be timely filed with the City Secretary as allowed by state law, and authorizing the correction of grammatical errors within the section without changing its intended meaning. | 85  |
| Majority <b>FOR</b> Proposition K   |     |
|   | 91% |

| <b>PROPOSITION L</b>  |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 9, of the City Charter, providing language allowing for the withdrawal of a petition once submitted, and authorizing the section to be renumbered accordingly.     | 781 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 9, of the City Charter, providing language allowing for the withdrawal of a petition once submitted, and authorizing the section to be renumbered accordingly. | 113 |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION L   |     |
|   | 87% |

| <b>PROPOSITION M</b>   |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 11, of the City Charter, providing language that will require at least 25% of the signatures obtained for a petition to recall an elected official reside within the specific city council district for which the recalled official represents.     | 796 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 11, of the City Charter, providing language that will require at least 25% of the signatures obtained for a petition to recall an elected official reside within the specific city council district for which the recalled official represents. | 106 |

|                                   |     |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION M | 88% |
|-----------------------------------|-----|

| <b>PROPOSITION N</b>  |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 12, of the City Charter, to include language prohibiting a council member who has been removed from office become a candidate to succeed him/herself in an election called to fill his/her vacancy, and providing that such elected officials name shall not appear on a ballot for elective office of the city within a period of two (2) years following the date such elected official was removed from office.     | 802 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 12, of the City Charter, to include language prohibiting a council member who has been removed from office become a candidate to succeed him/herself in an election called to fill his/her vacancy, and providing that such elected officials name shall not appear on a ballot for elective office of the city within a period of two (2) years following the date such elected official was removed from office. | 102 |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION N   |     |
| 89%   |     |

| <b>PROPOSITION O</b>   |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 13, of the City Charter, prohibiting a public officer from being recalled within six (6) months of an election, instead of within three (3) months.     | 643 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 13, of the City Charter, prohibiting a public officer from being recalled within six (6) months of an election, instead of within three (3) months. | 257 |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION O  |     |
| 71%  |     |

| <b>PROPOSITION P</b>   |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 14, of the City Charter, allowing for the language within said section be updated for better clarity and readability without changing its intended meaning.     | 798 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article IV, Section 14, of the City Charter, allowing for the language within said section be updated for better clarity and readability without changing its intended meaning. | 86  |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION P  |     |
| 90%  |     |

| <b>PROPOSITION Q</b>   |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article V, Section 2, of the City Charter, by removing the language pertaining to the designation of a person to perform City Manager duties, as it is addressed in Article V, Section 3.     | 669 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article V, Section 2, of the City Charter, by removing the language pertaining to the designation of a person to perform City Manager duties, as it is addressed in Article V, Section 3. | 161 |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION Q  |     |
| 81%  |     |

| <b>PROPOSITION R</b>  |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article V, Section 3, of the City Charter, by adding language clarifying the duties of the City Manager and adding an additional paragraph stating that the City Manager shall designate a qualified person of the City to perform the duties of City Manager in | 744 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| his/her absence and authorizing the correction of grammatical errors within the section without changing its intended meaning.   |     |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article V, Section 3, of the City Charter, by adding language clarifying the duties of the City Manager and adding an additional paragraph stating that the City Manager shall designate a qualified person of the City to perform the duties of City Manager in his/her absence and authorizing the correction of grammatical errors within the section without changing its intended meaning. | 108 |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION R</b>   |     |
|  | 87% |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>PROPOSITION S</b>   |     |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article V, Section 5, of the City Charter, by adding language clarifying the existence, composition, and definition of the police department.     | 745 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article V, Section 5, of the City Charter, by adding language clarifying the existence, composition, and definition of the police department. | 121 |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION S</b>   |     |
|  | 86% |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>PROPOSITION T</b>   |     |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article V, Section 10-11, of the City Charter, be amended by adding the language in Section 11 to Section 10 stating that classification of employees shall not apply to board members, or other employees or persons appointed by Council under this Charter, or to the police officers covered under Chapter 143 of the Texas Local Government Code, as is current practice, and by deleting Section 11 in its entirety providing for better clarity and readability.     | 679 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article V, Section 10-11, of the City Charter, be amended by adding the language in Section 11 to Section 10 stating that classification of employees shall not apply to board members, or other employees or persons appointed by Council under this Charter, or to the police officers covered under Chapter 143 of the Texas Local Government Code, as is current practice, and by deleting Section 11 in its entirety providing for better clarity and readability. | 146 |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION T</b>   |     |
|  | 82% |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>PROPOSITION U</b>   |     |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article V, Section 14, of the City Charter, by adding/removing language for better clarification and to properly reflect the liability of officers and employees due to gross negligence.     | 755 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article V, Section 14, of the City Charter, by adding/removing language for better clarification and to properly reflect the liability of officers and employees due to gross negligence. | 105 |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION U</b>   |     |
|  | 88% |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>PROPOSITION V</b>  |     |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article VI, Section 2, of the City Charter by adding/deleting language to simplify the section without changing the intended meaning and clarifying that municipal judges are ‘appointed’ by Council, and are not elected positions?     | 722 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article VI, Section 2, of the City Charter by adding/deleting language to simplify the section without changing the intended meaning and clarifying that municipal judges are ‘appointed’ by Council, and are not elected positions. | 128 |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION V</b> | <b>85%</b> |
|--|------------|

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>PROPOSITION W</b>   |            |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Section 3, of the City Charter reflecting current practice that the City Secretary make the budget available to all persons.     | 834        |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Section 3, of the City Charter reflecting current practice that the City Secretary make the budget available to all persons. | 54         |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION W</b>   | <b>94%</b> |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>PROPOSITION X</b>   |            |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Sections 7, 8, and 9, of the City Charter by adding language in Section 7 that combines Sections 7, 8, and 9, providing for better clarity and readability, and deleting Sections 8 and Section 9 in its entirety.     | 697        |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Sections 7, 8, and 9, of the City Charter by adding language in Section 7 that combines Sections 7, 8, and 9, providing for better clarity and readability, and deleting Sections 8 and Section 9 in its entirety. | 117        |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION X</b>   | <b>86%</b> |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>PROPOSITION Y</b>  |            |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Section 10, of the City Charter, reflecting current practice that the City Manager has the authority to make interfund budgetary transfers and that all transfers must be complete within 60 days after the end of the fiscal year.     | 682        |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Section 10, of the City Charter, reflecting current practice that the City Manager has the authority to make interfund budgetary transfers and that all transfers must be complete within 60 days after the end of the fiscal year. | 160        |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION Y</b>  | <b>81%</b> |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>PROPOSITION Z</b>   |            |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Section 14, of the City Charter, by removing the word “income” and replacing with “revenue or resources” for better clarification and readability without changing its intended meaning.     | 708        |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Section 14, of the City Charter, by removing the word “income” and replacing with “revenue or resources” for better clarification and readability without changing its intended meaning. | 133        |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION Z</b>   | <b>84%</b> |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>PROPOSITION AA</b>  |            |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Section 15, of the City Charter, by rewording the section for better clarity and transparency without changing its intended meaning.     | 740        |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Section 15, of the City Charter, by rewording the section for better clarity and transparency without changing its intended meaning. | 102        |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION AA</b>  | <b>88%</b> |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>PROPOSITION BB</b>  |     |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment Article VII, Section 30, of the City Charter, reflecting current practice that the City contracts with the Brazoria County Tax Assessor to collect City taxes, and | 721 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| removing the language that the City Manager shall collect taxes, or take action to collect delinquent taxes.  |     |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment Article VII, Section 30, of the City Charter, reflecting current practice that the City contracts with the Brazoria County Tax Assessor to collect City taxes, and removing the language that the City Manager shall collect taxes, or take action to collect delinquent taxes. | 126 |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION BB</b>   |     |
|   | 85% |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>PROPOSITION CC</b>   |     |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Section 30, of the City Charter, be amended by removing the words “approval role” and “effective tax rate” and replacing with “appraisal roll” and “no new revenue tax rate” to reflect terminology in the Texas Property Code.     | 726 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Section 30, of the City Charter, be amended by removing the words “approval role” and “effective tax rate” and replacing with “appraisal roll” and “no new revenue tax rate” to reflect terminology in the Texas Property Code. | 113 |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION CC</b>   |     |
|   | 87% |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>PROPOSITION DD</b>  |     |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Section 31, of the City Charter, by removing the phrase “may deem <i>expedient</i> ” and replace with “may deem <i>necessary</i> ” to provide for the appropriate terminology.     | 751 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article VII, Section 31, of the City Charter, by removing the phrase “may deem <i>expedient</i> ” and replace with “may deem <i>necessary</i> ” to provide for the appropriate terminology. | 95  |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION DD</b>  |     |
|  | 89% |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>PROPOSITION EE</b>   |     |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article VIII, Section 3, of the City Charter, by updating the language within said section providing for better clarity and readability without changing its intended meaning.     | 727 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article VIII, Section 3, of the City Charter, by updating the language within said section providing for better clarity and readability without changing its intended meaning. | 100 |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION EE</b>   |     |
|   | 88% |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>PROPOSITION FF</b>  |     |
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article VIII, Section 5, of the City Charter, including additional language that gives the commission the authority to approve amended plats, minor plats, or replats involving four (4) or fewer lots fronting an existing street, that do not require the creation of any new street or extension of municipal facilities as authorized by state law.     | 653 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article VIII, Section 5, of the City Charter, including additional language that gives the commission the authority to approve amended plats, minor plats, or replats involving four (4) or fewer lots fronting an existing street, that do not require the creation of any new street or extension of municipal facilities as authorized by state law. | 175 |
| <b>MAJORITY <i>FOR</i> PROPOSITION FF</b>  |     |
|  | 79% |

| <b>PROPOSITION GG</b>  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article VIII, Section 6, of the City Charter, concerning zoning and land use agency be deleted because the City does not have zoning.     | 647 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article VIII, Section 6, of the City Charter, concerning zoning and land use agency be deleted because the City does not have zoning. | 197 |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION GG   |     |
|  | 77% |

| <b>PROPOSITION HH</b>  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article VIII, Section 7, of the City Charter, providing additional requirements for the adoption of a zoning ordinance be deleted as state law governs how a city may adopt zoning.     | 641 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article VIII, Section 7, of the City Charter, providing additional requirements for the adoption of a zoning ordinance be deleted as state law governs how a city may adopt zoning. | 191 |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION HH   |     |
|  | 77% |

| <b>PROPOSITION II</b>   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article IX, Section 1, of the City Charter, by updating the language within said section providing for better readability and for clarification that members of said board are appointed by Council.     | 715 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article IX, Section 1, of the City Charter, by updating the language within said section providing for better readability and for clarification that members of said board are appointed by Council. | 105 |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION II  |     |
|   | 87% |

| <b>PROPOSITION JJ</b>   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article IX, Sections 4 and 5, of the City Charter, by updating the language within said sections providing for better clarity and readability without changing its intended meaning.     | 721 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article IX, Sections 4 and 5, of the City Charter, by updating the language within said sections providing for better clarity and readability without changing its intended meaning. | 93  |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION JJ  |     |
|   | 89% |

| <b>PROPOSITION KK</b>   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article X, Section 5, of the City Charter, be amended by updating the language within said section providing for better clarity and readability without changing its intended meaning.     | 728 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article X, Section 5, of the City Charter, be amended by updating the language within said section providing for better clarity and readability without changing its intended meaning. | 92  |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION KK  |     |
|   | 89% |

| <b>PROPOSITION LL</b>  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article XI, Section 16, of the City Charter, by adding language that allows for the publication of the Charter Report in accordance with state law. | 756 |



|   |     |
|---|-----|
| AGAINST the proposed amendment to Article XI, Section 16, of the City Charter, by adding language that allows for the publication of the Charter Report in accordance with state law. | 80  |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION LL  | 90% |

| <b>PROPOSITION MM</b>  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>FOR</b> the proposed amendment to Article XI, Section 17, of the City Charter, by adding language that clarifies that this section pertains to the original Charter document submitted in 1962.     | 730 |
| <b>AGAINST</b> the proposed amendment to Article XI, Section 17, of the City Charter, by adding language that clarifies that this section pertains to the original Charter document submitted in 1962. | 103 |
| MAJORITY <b>FOR</b> PROPOSITION MM   | 88% |

**Section 3.** A majority of all votes cast in the special election were cast for Propositions A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Y, Z, AA, BB, CC, DD, EE, FF, GG, HH, II, JJ, KK, LL, MM in the special election.

**Section 4.** The above and foregoing totals are shown in the official election returns of the special election submitted to the City Council and filed with the City Secretary; and

**Section 5.** The special election held in the City of Alvin, Texas, on May 1, 2021, which is more fully described in the preamble of this Ordinance, was called and notice thereof was given in accordance with law. Only qualified, resident electors of the City voted in the special election. Returns of the special election have been lawfully made by the proper officials. The special election has resulted favorably to the adoption of Proposed Amendments A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Y, Z, AA, BB, CC, DD, EE, FF, GG, HH, II, JJ, KK, LL, MM to the Home Rule Charter of the City of Alvin with a majority of the qualified voters voting at the special election having voted in favor of Propositions A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Y, Z, AA, BB, CC, DD, EE, FF, GG, HH, II, JJ, KK, LL, MM submitted at such special election.

**Section 6.** Proposed Amendments A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Y, Z, AA, BB, CC, DD, EE, FF, GG, HH, II, JJ, KK, LL, MM as approved and adopted by a majority of the qualified voters voting at such special election, shall become a part of the Charter of the City, and it is hereby declared that each of the amendments to the Charter, as fully set out in this Ordinance, are hereby adopted as inscribed in **Attachment A**.

**Section 7.** It is hereby officially found and determined that the meeting at which this Ordinance was passed was open to the public as required and that public notice of the time, place and purpose of said meeting was given as required by the Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code and said notice and posting are hereby authorized, approved, resolved, ratified, and confirmed.

**PASSED AND APPROVED** this 20<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2021.

**CITY OF ALVIN, TEXAS**

**ATTEST:**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Paul A. Horn, Mayor

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dixie Roberts, City Secretary